Claims 6-14 and 16-18 are pending in the application, with claims 16 and 17 being the

independent claims. Claims 1-5 and 15 have been canceled. Claims 8 and 9 have been amended

to depend from claim 17.

Acknowledgement of Allowed Subject Matter

The indication that claim 12 is allowable and that claim 16 is allowed is gratefully noted.

New Matter Objection

Attached is a Certification/Declaration from a professional translator attesting to the accuracy

of the corrected translation and the Amendment filed October 3, 2002. Accordingly, withdrawal fo

the new matter objection is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 6, 9-10, and 17-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art ("AAPA") in view "Modern Ceramic Engineering" to Richerson

("Richerson").

Reconsideration of the combination of AAPA and Richerson is respectfully requested.

Richerson teaches away from uses such as in AAPA, and accordingly, one skilled in the art would

not make such a combination. The Action proposes that Richerson would be used in AAPA to

"reduce wear and friction". However, as noted in Richerson on page 359, "[t]he barriers to more

extensive use [of ceramics] include brittleness and low toughness (leading to the tendency to fracture

during handling or under contact loading)...". A lining used in accordance with AAPA requires

extreme toughness. Tobacco processing machines such as in AAPA process in the range of 16000-

20000 cigarettes per minute, and the lining in the processing machine is in direct contact with

-6-

Appl. No. 09/888,651

Amendment dated October 20, 2003

Reply to Office Action of July 18, 2003

flowable tobacco material. Accordingly, when considering the teachings of Richerson, one skilled

in the art would not use a ceramic for this purpose.

Accordingly, claims 6, 9, 10, 17 and 18 are allowable over the AAPA and Richerson.

Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view

of Richardson and GB 885,485. As noted above, the combination of the AAPA and Richerson is

improper. Accordingly, claim 8 is allowable

Claims 7, 9-11, 13-14, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over DE 197 33 443 in view of AAPA and Richerson. As noted above, the combination of the

AAPA and Richerson is improper. As such, claims 7, 9-11, 13, 14, 17 and 18 are allowable.

All of the stated grounds of objection and rejections have been properly traversed,

accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider all presently outstanding objections and rejections and that they be withdrawn.

Applicants believe that a full and complete reply has been made to the outstanding Office Action

and, as such, the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, the Examiner is hereby invited to telephone the undersigned at the

number provided.

-7-

Appl. No. 09/888,651 Amendment dated October 20, 2003 Reply to Office Action of July 18, 2003

A Notice of Allowance with claims 6-14 and 16-18 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 44,505

VENABLE LLP

P.O. Box 34385

Washington, D.C. 20043-9998

Telephone: (202) 344-4000

Telefax: (202) 344-8300

#492675